

In the Matter of)
)
GEORGE H. DUNSMUIR) Proceeding No. D 2000-11
)
Respondent)

ORDER

On April 22, 2001, the Director of Enrollment and Discipline (the "Director") filed a complaint against Respondent George H. Dunsmuir. The complaint was filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 10.134, and it alleged that Respondent violated the Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. 10.23(c)(5).¹ To date, Respondent has not filed an answer to the complaint.

The Director now moves for an Order of Default. In his motion, the Director documents his unsuccessful attempts to serve the complaint upon Respondent at Respondent's registered address, both by mail and in person. In addition, the Director has published notice of the complaint against Respondent in the USPTO's Official Gazette for four consecutive weeks, with the last publication having occurred on September 18, 2001. Still, Respondent has filed no answer.

¹In the Complaint, the Director also alleged that the evidence to be developed may reveal that Respondent also has violated other enumerated Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct. In as much as Respondent has not specifically been charged with violating these other Disciplinary Rules, they are not covered by this default order.

For good cause shown, the Director's motion for a default judgment is GRANTED and the Director's allegation that Respondent violated 37 C.F.R. 10.23 (c)(5) is deemed admitted. Furthermore, it is ORDERED that Respondent George H. Dunsmuir is suspended from practice before the USPTO for a period of 5 years from the date of this order.



Carl C. Charneski
Administrative Law Judge

Dated: December 3, 2001
Washington, D.C.